

Department of Anthropology and Sociology (ANSO)

Academic year 2018 - 2019

Dealing with the Past and Transitional Justice: Comparative Perspectives

ANSO086 – Autumn 2018- 6 ECTS

[Schedule & Room](#)

Course Description

The seminar aims at investigating through anthropological perspectives the aftermath of civil wars, genocides and dictatorships. How the 'nation' can be rebuilt? What is the role of civil societies and State authorities in the process at the national and local levels? How the models of State-building and reconciliation 'exported' by international donors help or hinder the transitions? Are they new instruments of a neoliberal governmentality in the post-colonial world?

Actually, the instruments and practices of what has come to be known 'Dealing with the Past' (DWP) and 'Transitional Justice' (TJ) have witnessed in a recent past an important surge of South-South cooperation with the role of the UN as a 'broker'. However, after the experiences in Latin America during the 1970s and 1980s, and in South Africa in the early 1990s, the legalistic models of TJ for DWP have become more and more part of the tools used by international donors for promoting a global 'neoliberal order'.

The approach will be geographically and thematically comparative: Africa (Rwanda), Asia (Cambodia), and Latin America (Chile and Argentina); two contexts of past dictatorships and two cases of genocides. Cinematic representations of different forms of transitional justice, documentary films and fictions will be part and parcel of the pedagogical material for the seminar.

Finally, while a consistent bulk of studies has been produced by jurists, political scientists, psychologists, and historians, the more recent critical contributions of anthropologists have helped in shedding light on how the 'social pact' can be renegotiated in the aftermath of traumatic events.

> PROFESSOR

Riccardo Bocco
riccardo.bocco@graduateinstitute.ch

[Office hours](#)

> ASSISTANT

Camille Giraut
camille.giraut@graduateinstitute.ch

[Office hours](#)

Syllabus

1. ORGANIZATION

During the fall 2018, the seminar will take place each Thursday from 4.15pm to 6.00pm, starting on the 20th of September.

The attendance to the sessions of the seminar is compulsory. Students are required to read the compulsory texts and to watch the required films before each session.

The seminar is taught primarily in **English**. Questions and oral presentations, as well as the final papers, may be formulated/written in English or in French.

2. SESSIONS OF THE SEMINAR AND COMPULSORY READINGS

The compulsory readings are available on the support material webpage; the movies will be accessible on line: students will be provided with an access password.

SESSION 1 - September 20th

Introduction to the problematics of the seminar (RB)

- a) Presentation of the seminar's problematics and of the sessions' contents.
- b) Methods of work and evaluation

SESSION 2 – September 27th

Dealing with the Past. (RB)

ASSMANN, Aleida, 2012, "To Remember or to Forget: Which Way Out of a Shared History of Violence?", in: *Memory and Political Change*, A. Assmann and L. Shortt (eds.), Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 53-71.

BUCKLEY-ZISTEL, Susanne et al, 2014a, "Transitional Justice Theories: An Introduction", in: Susanne Buckley-Zistel et al, *Transitional Justice Theories*. New York, Routledge, 2014: pp. 1-16.

BELL, Christine, 2009, « Transitional Justice, Interdisciplinarity and the State of the 'Field' or 'Non-Field' », in : *The International Journal of Transitional Justice*, Vol. 3, pp. 5–27.

NAGY, Rosemary, 2008, « Transitional Justice as a Global Project: Critical Reflections », in : *Third World Quarterly*, Vol. 29, n°2, pp. 275-289.

SOREL, Jean-Marc, 2011, « Les tribunaux pénaux internationaux. Ombres et lumières d'une récente grande ambition », in : *Revue Tiers Monde*, n°205, pp. 29-46.

SWISSPEACE, 2016, *A Conceptual Framework for Dealing With The Past*. Bern, 20pp.

TEITEL, Ruti G., 2003, « Transitional Justice Genealogy », in: *Harvard Human Rights Journal*, Vol.16, pp.

NO SESSION on October 4th

DOUBLE SESSION 3 and 4 – October 11th (4.15-6.00pm and 6.15-8.00pm)

a) *On Violence, Memory and History* (RB)

Anthropology and Historical Political Sociology Perspectives.

Readings :

BELL, Duncan. 2009, « Introduction: Violence and Memory », in : *Millennium*, vol. 38, pp. 345-360.

CONNERTON, Paul, 2008, « Seven Types of Forgetting », in: *Memory Studies*, n°1, vol. 58, pp. 59-71.

DAVID, Lea, 2017, "Against Standardization of Memory", in: *Human Rights Quarterly*, vol. 39, pp.296-318.

KANSTEINER, Wulf, 2002, « Finding Meaning in Memory : A Methodological Critique of Collective Memory Studies », in : *History and Theory*, vol. 41, n°2, pp. 179-197.
KILANI, Mondher, 2015, *Anthropologie et Violence*, texte présenté au colloque 'Mémoire, Violence et Cinéma', Genève, IHEID-HEAD, février.

b) The development of Transitional Justice in the Global South (RB)

Truth Commissions : South Africa and Peru in comparative perspective.

Readings :

BRANTS, Chrisje and KLEP, Katrien, 2013, « Transitional Justice: History-Telling, Collective Memory and the Victim-Witness », in: *International Journal of Conflict and Violence*, vol. 7, n°1, pp. 36-49.
BUCKLEY-ZISTEL, Susanne, 2014b, « Narrative truths: On the construction of the past in Truth Commissions », in: Susanne Buckley-Zistel et al, *Transitional Justice Theories*. New York, Routledge, pp. 144-162.
WEIFFEN, B., 2012, « From Domestic to International Instruments for Dealing with a Violent Past: Causes, Concomitants and Consequences for Democratic Transitions », in: *Memory and Political Change*, A. Assmann and L. Shortt (eds.), Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 89-108.

SESSION 5 – October 18th

'How to read' a Documentary Dealing with a Violent Past : Examples from former Yugoslavia

Invited Lecturers :

Jasmin BASIC, film historian, film festivals' curator and film producer, Geneva ;
Gabriella ZALAPÌ, independent artist, painter and novelist, Paris.

Films to be watched :

DEPTH TWO by Ognjen Glavonić, 2016, 80mn. (Serbo-Croatian, Albanian, subt. English)

Synopsis : One of the most significant works about the recent history of former Yugoslavia, which, through a personal approach, successfully gives a voice to the victims of the crimes committed and discloses a long-buried secret.

My OWN PRIVATE WAR by Lidija Zelovic, 2016, 57mn. (Serbian and English, subt. English)

Synopsis : From a Serbian family from Sarajevo who emigrated to the Netherlands at the beginning of the civil war in Yugoslavia, the filmmaker has followed the conflict and its consequences for years as a journalist. Today, she has come back on a personal matter, in order to come to terms with the country in which she was born.

Readings :

BLUM, Carolyn Patty, 2014, « Visions of Justice and Accountability : Transitional Justice and Film », in : *Transitional Justice, Culture and Society*, C. Ramirez-Barat (ed.), New York, Social Science Research Council, pp. 461-490.

VAN MUNSTER, Rens and SYLVEST, Casper, 2013, « Documenting International Relations : Documentary Films and the Creative Arrangement of Perceptibility », in : *International Studies Perspectives*, pp. 1-17.

SESSION 6 – October 25th

Screening of a film on Chile followed by a class debate

THE JUDGE AND THE GENERAL by E. Farnsworth & P. Lanfranco, 2008, 83mn. (English subt. Espanol)

Synopsis : When in 1998 Chilean judge Juan Guzmán was assigned the first criminal cases against the country's ex-dictator, General Augusto Pinochet, no one expected much. Guzmán had supported Pinochet's 1973 coup — waged as an anti-Communist crusade — that left the democratically elected president, Salvador Allende, and thousands of others dead or "disappeared." The filmmakers trace the judge's descent into what he calls "the abyss," where he uncovers the past — including his own role in the tragedy.

Readings :

HITE Katherine, COLLINS Cath and JOIGNANT Alfredo, 2013, « The Politics of Memory in Chile », in : *The Politics of Memory in Chile : from Pinochet to Bachelet*, K. Hite, C. Collins and A. Joignant (eds.), Boulder, Lynne Rienner Publ., pp. 1-29.

SESSION 7 – November 1st (4.15-7.00pm)

a) *Dealing with the Past (Dictatorship) in Chile*

Readings :

KLEP, Katrien, 2012, « Tracing collective memory: Chilean Truth Commissions and Memorial sites », in : *Memory Studies*, vol. 5, n°3, pp. 259-269.

LIRA, Elizabeth, 2011, "Chile: Dilemmas of Memory", in : F. Lessa and V. Druliolle, (eds.), 2011, *The Memory of State Terrorism in the Southern Cone*. New York, Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 107-132.

ROS, Ana, 2012a, "Introduction" and Chapter 1, in: *The Post-Dictatorship Generation in Argentina, Chile and Uruguay. Collective Memory and Cultural Production*. New York, Palgrave Macmillan, pp.1-24.

ROS, Ana, 2012b, "Collective Memory from Dictatorship to the Present", in: *The Post-Dictatorship Generation in Argentina, Chile and Uruguay. Collective Memory and Cultural Production*. New York, Palgrave Macmillan, pp.108-118.

Films to be watched :

PINOCHET ET SES TROIS GENERAUX de José-Maria Berzosa, 1977, 101mn. (Español, subt. French)

Résumé : En 1976, trois ans après le coup d'état armé contre le gouvernement d'Allende, José-Maria Berzosa rencontre le général Pinochet en voyage et lui propose un entretien filmé sur sa vie et sa pensée politique. Pinochet et trois de ses généraux confient leurs idées spontanément. Ils parlent tranquillement de leurs goûts artistiques, de leurs idées politiques, de leur vie de famille. De ces entretiens menés dans une apparente bonhomie ressort un portrait ironique et sans concessions des principaux dirigeants de la junte : leur goût de l'ordre, de l'efficacité, une sorte de « fascisme ordinaire ». En contrepoint, les familles des victimes et des disparus témoignent d'une autre réalité.

FIESTAPATRIA de L. Vera, 2007, 120 mn. (Español subt. English)

Synopsis : 'Fiestapatria' is a metaphor for the social and moral state of Chile, and provides provocative testimony of a period that ranges from the militar regime to the present day. The film makes use of a gallery of representative characters from Chilean society to tell the story of two families that get together in a country house to celebrate the National Holiday and the engagement of their children, Macarena and Álvaro. Just before the event comes to an eagerly-awaited happy end, young Macarena discovers the family's best kept secret.

b) *Presentation of the themes for the final papers*

SESSION 8 – November 8th

Screening of a film on Argentina

followed by a debate with Prof. Sévane Garibian, Department of Law, UNIGE

THE OFFICIAL STORY by Luis Puenzo, 1985, 104mn. (Español subt. English)

Synopsis : An Argentine teacher lives in blissful ignorance of the evils perpetrated by her country's government. Over time, however, her students' rejection of the "official" versions of their history leads her to question things herself. Suspecting that her adopted daughter may have been the child of a murdered political prisoner, she attempts to unearth the truth. But her investigation reveals levels of political corruption so abhorrent that the illusions of her past life are irrevocably shattered.

Readings :

FALICOV Tamara, 2001, « Film production in Argentina under democracy, 1983-1989: 'The Official Story' as an international film, in: *Southern Quarterly*, vol. 39 n°4, pp. 123-134.

GARIBIAN Sévane, 2014b, "Seeking the dead among the living: embodying the disappeared of the

- Argentinian dictatorship through law”, in: E. Anstett & J.-M. Dreyfus, *Human remains and mass violence: methodological approaches*. Manchester : Manchester University Press, 2014. p. 44-55.
- GARIBIAN, Sévan, 2015, “Truth versus impunity: Post-transitional justice in Argentina and the ‘human rights turn’”, in: *African Yearbook of Rhetoric*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 63-73.
- GARIBIAN, Sévane, 2014a, “Ghosts also Die. Resisting Disappearance through the ‘Right to the Truth’ and the Juicios por la Verdad in Argentina” in: *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, pp.1-24.

SESSION 9 – November 15th

Dealing with the Past (Dictatorship) in Argentina

Readings :

- CATOGGIO, Soledad Maria, 2010, « The Last Military Dictatorship in Argentina (1976-1983): The Mechanism of State Terrorism », in : *Encyclopedia of Mass Violence*, Paris, Sciencespo, 20pp.
- CRENZEL, Emilio, 2008, “Argentina’s National Commission on the Disappearance of Persons: Contributions to Transitional Justice”, in: *The International Journal of Transitional Justice*, Vol. 2, pp. 173–191.
- ROBBEN, Antonius, 2011, “Testimonies, Truths and Transitions of Justice in Argentina and Chile”, in: A. Laban Hinton (ed.), *Transitional Justice. Global Mechanisms and Local Realities after Genocide and Mass Violence*. New Brunswick, Rutgers University Press, pp. 179-205.
- ROBBEN, Antonius, 2012, “Silence, Denials and Confessions about State Terror by the Argentine Military”, in: M. Six-Hohenbalken and N. Weiss (eds.), *Violence Expressed: An Anthropological Approach*. London, Routledge, pp.

Films to be watched :

CONFESIONES de Gualberto Ferrari, 2011, 89mn. (mp4 – Espanol subt. Français)

Résumé : Enquête minutieuse au suspense et à la profondeur d’un roman policier sur l’une des pages les plus sombres de l’Histoire argentine récente. Le réalisateur tisse habilement sa trame en suivant deux personnages: Gustavo Scagliusi, un agent du Batallón 601, organisme suprême des Services de renseignement de l’État-major et principal acteur du terrorisme d’État de la fin des années 70, et Ricardo Ragendorfer, ex-militant guérillero devenu journaliste spécialisé dans les crimes de la répression militaire. Deux confessions en miroir qui évoquent les fantômes d’une époque et construisent une version intime et kaléidoscopique de la dictature.

EL PROCESO de Roman Lejtman, 2003, 55mn. (Espanol – subt. English)

Synopsis : Este documental es una pieza inédita e histórica, porque contiene imágenes y testimonios de la dictadura nunca vistos en la Argentina. El Proceso es un trabajo periodístico que sirve para hacer memoria, enseñar a las nuevas generaciones y evitar, fundamentalmente, que la historia vuelva a repetirse. El 24 de Marzo de 1976, un golpe de Estado terminó con el gobierno de Isabel Perón. Las Fuerzas Armadas se hicieron cargo del poder e impusieron un plan sistemático de violación de los derechos humanos .

SESSION 10 – November 23rd

Screening of a film on Cambodia

S 21 : THE KHMER ROUGE KILLING MACHINE by Rithy Panh, 2002, 101 mn.

Synopsis: Vann Nath and Chum Mey, two survivors of the Khmer Rouges’ Tuol Sleng Prison, are reunited and revisit the former prison, now a museum in Phnom Penh. They meet their former captors – guards, interrogators, a doctor and a photographer – many of whom were barely teenagers during the Khmer Rouge era from 1975 to 1979. Their appearances are in stark contrast to the two former prisoners, who are both elderly men. Vann Nath, who was made to paint portraits of prisoners, has a full head of white hair. The guards and interrogators give a tour of the museum, re-enacting their treatment of the prisoners and daily regimens. They look over the prison’s detailed records, including photographs, to refresh their memories. At one point, Vann Nath directly confronts his former captors

about their actions, but they counter that they themselves were also victims, being little more than children at the time, and hold themselves blameless.

Readings :

BEACHLER, Donald, 2014, « The Quest for Justice in Cambodia: Power, Politics, and the Khmer Rouge Tribunal », in: *Genocide Studies and Prevention: an International Journal*, vol. 8, n°2, pp. 67-80.

SESSION 11 – November 30th

Dealing with the Past (Genocide) in Cambodia

Readings :

DEVRESSE, Marie-Sophie and SCALIA, Damien, 2016, "Hearing Tried People in International Criminal Justice: Sympathy for the Devil?", in: *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16, pp. 796-825.

POIRIER, Brice, 2013, « The place and participation of the victims in Duch's trial », in : Ch. Delage and P. Goodrich (eds.), *The Scene of the Mass Crime. History, Films and International Tribunals*. London, Routledge, pp.167-175.

ROUX, François, 2013, « Pleading guilty before the International Criminal Courts : the case of Duch before the Khmer Rouge Tribunal », in : Ch. Delage and P. Goodrich (eds.), *The Scene of the Mass Crime. History, Films and International Tribunals*. London, Routledge, pp. 155-166.

SIRONI, Françoise, 2013, « The Psychological Evaluation of Duch, a criminal against Humanity in Cambodia », in : Ch. Delage and P. Goodrich (eds.), *The Scene of the Mass Crime. History, Films and International Tribunals*. London, Routledge, pp. 131-154.

Films to be watched :

DUCH, LE MAITRE DES FORGES DE L'ENFER by Rithy Panh, 2011, 103 mn.

Synopsis: Between 1975 and 1979, the Khmer Rouge was responsible for the death of nearly 2 million people - a quarter of the Cambodia population. Kaing Guek Eav, known as Duch, directed both the M13 and S21 centers where tens of thousands of people were tortured and executed. He also is the first Khmer Rouge leader to be brought before an international criminal justice court; he was found guilty and sentenced to 35 years in prison. Panh's film is likely one of the most elaborate discussions with someone responsible for mass genocide. "Duch" describes a highly bureaucratic apparatus of death, where testimonies culled from tortured prisoners are scrutinized, corrected, and then sent to party headquarters to be examined by defense minister Son Sen and, occasionally, by Pol Pot himself. The film is most telling when Duch holds up copies of his own handwritten lists of slaughtered prisoners, revealing how an entire life was reduced by the Khmer regime into a single stroke of ink.

KHMERS ROUGES, UNE SIMPLE QUESTION DE JUSTICE de Rémi Lainé et Jean Reynaud, 2011, 90 mn.

Synopsis: Mise en place par l'ONU, une mission d'enquête internationale menée par le juge d'instruction Marcel Lemonde traque les responsables du génocide cambodgien. Objectif : les déférer devant un tribunal spécial. Mais la mission qui s'annonçait comme un parcours bien balisé tourne au parcours du combattant. Grâce à une autorisation exceptionnelle, ce film suit cette aventure unique qui conduira à un jugement historique.

SESSION 12 – December 6th

Screening of a film on Rwanda followed by a debate

MY NEIGHBOUR, MY KILLER by Anne Aghion, 2009, 80mn. (subt. English)

Synopsis: Could you ever forgive the people who slaughtered your family? In 1994, hundreds of thousands of Rwandan Hutus were incited to wipe out the country's Tutsi minority. From the crowded capital to the smallest village, local 'patrols' massacred lifelong friends and family members, most often with machetes and improvised weapons. Announced in 2001, and ending in 2012, the government put in place the *Gacaca* Tribunals — open-air hearings with citizen-judges

meant to try their neighbors and rebuild the nation. As part of this experiment in reconciliation, confessed genocide killers are sent home from prison, while traumatized survivors are asked to forgive them and resume living side-by-side. Filming for close to a decade in a tiny hamlet, award-winning filmmaker Anne Aghion has charted the impact of *Gacaca* on survivors and perpetrators alike. Through their fear and anger, accusations and defenses, blurry truths, inconsolable sadness, and hope for life renewed, she captures the emotional journey to coexistence.

Readings :

- BURNET Jennie, 2011, "(In)Justice. Truth, Reconciliation and Revenge in Rwanda's Gacaca", in: A. Laban Hinton (ed.), *Transitional Justice. Global Mechanisms and Local Realities after Genocide and Mass Violence*. New Brunswick, Rutgers University Press, pp. 95-118.
- BUCKLEY-ZISTEL, Susanne, 2005, « 'The Truth Heals' ? *Gacaca* Jurisdictions and the Consolidation of Peace in Rwanda », in : *Friedens Warte*, vol. 80, pp. 110-126.

SESSION 13 – December 13th (4.15-7.00pm)

a) Dealing with the Past (Genocide) in Rwanda

Readings :

- BUCKLEY-ZISTEL Susanne, 2006, « Remembering to Forget : Chosen Amnesia as a Strategy for Local Coexistence in Post-Genocide Rwanda », in : *Africa*, vol. 76, n°2, pp. 131-150.
- ELTRINGHAM Nigel, 2011, "Judging the 'Crime of Crimes'. Continuity and Improvisation at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda", in: A. Laban Hinton (ed.), *Transitional Justice. Global Mechanisms and Local Realities after Genocide and Mass Violence*. New Brunswick, Rutgers University Press, pp. 206-226.
- GREADY, Paul, 2010, " 'You are Either With US or Against Us': Civil Society and Policy-Making in Post-Genocide Rwanda", in: *African Affairs*, vol. 109, pp. 637-657.
- GUICHAOUA André, 2006, « Vérité judiciaire et 'vérité du chercheur'. Témoins et témoignages devant le tribunal pénal international pour le Rwanda », in: M. Le Pape, J. Siméant, C. Vidal (eds), *Crises extrêmes. Face aux massacres, aux guerres civiles et aux génocides*. Paris, La Découverte, pp. 119-135.
- GUICHAOUA André, 2011, "L'instrumentalisation politique de la justice internationale en Afrique centrale", in: *Revue Tiers Monde*, n°205, pp. 65-83.

Films to be watched:

AS WE FORGIVE by Laura Waters Hinson, 2008, 53mn.

Synopsis: *Could you forgive a person who murdered your family?* This is the question faced by the subjects of the movie, a documentary about Rosaria and Chantal—two Rwandan women coming face-to-face with the men who slaughtered their families during the 1994 genocide. The subjects of the film speak for a nation still wracked by the grief of a genocide that killed one in eight Rwandans in 1994. Overwhelmed by an enormous backlog of court cases, the government has returned over 50,000 genocide perpetrators back to the very communities they helped to destroy. Without the hope of full justice, Rwanda has turned to a new solution: Reconciliation. But can it be done? Can survivors truly forgive the killers who destroyed their families? Can the government expect this from its people? And can the Church, which failed at moral leadership during the genocide, fit into the process of reconciliation today? The film explores these topics through the lives of four neighbors once caught in opposite tides of a genocidal bloodbath, and their extraordinary journey from death to life through forgiveness.

BY THE SHORTCUT by Dady de Maximo Mwicira Mitali, 2010, 146mn. (subt. French)

Synopsis: April 1994: Rwanda falls into a 100 days of hell: 'The Tutsi Genocide.' The victims of this genocide, the Tutsi, lost their lives and were ushered to DRC, Burundi, Tanzania, Kenya and Uganda, taking the "shortcut"! This shortcut leading them to Mesopotamia where they supposedly came from, as repeatedly echoed by their bullies is the Nyabarongo River, and other streams of water in the land of 1000 hills. The lakes and streams of water of Rwanda have since 1959 transported those tortured, mutilated and raped bodies, and threw them in unknown lands. *The film director, who survived the genocide against Tutsis, followed the journey of those bodies, and through images and testimonies he collected from the perpetrators, the victims and those who*

helped them survive, he reveals the memory of people thrown in rivers and retrieved from Lake Victoria at the end of 1994. More than 17 000 bodies were found in Uganda.

b) Presentation of her doctoral research by Camille GIRAUT.

SESSION 14 - December 20th

Presentations of individuals' and groups' works in class

(this session will last until 8.00pm, and will be followed by a cocktail)

3. EVALUATION AND GRADING

The evaluation is based on regular attendance, participation in the debates, texts' and movies' critical presentations during the seminar, the pre-final oral presentation of the paper during the last session of the seminar, and the final written paper.

For the final group papers, students will work around a number of topics developed during the seminar. These will be presented in class.

The final papers will be co-signed by groups of 3-4 students and finalized by early January.

Students will be graded according to their presence, participation, class presentation (30%), on the one side, and for their final papers (70%) on the other side.

This course is granted 6 ECTS: attendance/participation (1 ECTS), presentations of texts and movies during the seminar (2 ECTS) and final paper (3 ECTS). Each ECTS is equivalent to 25-30 hours of work.

4. Academic Code of conduct

The written assignments must represent an original work, with the use of all resources properly cited. Plagiarism, cheating or violation of the academic honor code will NOT be tolerated, and will be dealt with according to Institute rules' policy.

Student assignments will be randomly selected to be checked using software designed to identify academic plagiarism. Please, note that assignments that are confirmed to contain plagiarized material will be given a 'O' grade.

Background Bibliography

- BRYSON, Anna, 2016, « Victims, Violence and Voice: Transitional Justice, Oral History and Dealing with the Past », in: *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review*, Vol. 39, pp.
- BUDEN, Boris, 2011, "Truth and Reconciliation Are Not What We Really Need", in: *Experiments with Truth*, Documenta 11, Platform 2, 71, pp.
- CANDAU, Joël, 2005, *Anthropologie de la mémoire*. Paris, Armand Colin.
- CUTTER PATEL, Ana, DE GREIFF, Pablo and WALDORF, Lars (eds.), 2009, *Disarming the Past: Transitional Justice and Ex-combatants*. New York, Social Sciences Research Council.
- DE GREIFF, Pablo and DUTHIE, Roger (eds.), 2009, *Transitional Justice and Development*. New York, Social Sciences Research Council.
- HAYNER, Patricia, 2011, *Unspeakable Truths: Confronting State Terror and Atrocity*, New York, Routledge, 2nd edition, pp.1-26.
- KROTOSZYNSKI M., 2016, "The Transitional Justice Models and the Justifications of Means of Dealing with the Past", in: *Oñati Socio-legal Series*, 6 (3), 584-606. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2832294>
- LABAN HINTON, Alexander (ed.), 2011, *Transitional Justice. Global Mechanisms and Local Realities after Genocide and Mass Violence*. New Brunswick, Rutgers University Press.
- LUNDY, Patricia, McGOVERN, Mark, 2008, « Whose Justice? Rethinking Transitional Justice from the Bottom Up », in: *Journal of Law and Society*, Vol. 35, n°2, pp.
- McEVOY, Kieran, McCONNACHIE, Kirsten, 2013, "Victims and Transitional Justice: Voice, Agency and Blame", in: *Social & Legal Studies*, vol. pp.
- McEVOY, Kiernan, McCONNACHIE, Kirsten, 2012, « Victimology in Transitional Justice: Victimhood, Innocence and Hierarchy », in: *European Journal of Criminology*, n°9, pp. 527-538.
- McGINTY, Roger, 2008, « Indigeneous Peace-Making vs Liberal Peace », in: *Journal of the Nordic International Studies Association*, n°2, pp.
- MADLINGOZI, Tshepo, 2010, « On Transitional Justice Entrepreneurs and the Production of Victims », in: *Journal of Human Rights Practice*, vol.2, n°2, pp. 208–228.
- MALESEVIC, Sinisa, 2017, *The Rise of Organised Brutality. A Historical Sociology of Violence*. Cambridge University Press.
- OETTLER, Anika, 2017, *Transitional Justice, Recognition, and Authoritative Power*. Hamburg, GIGA (German Institute of Global and Area Studies) Working Papers n°305.
- RAMIREZ-BARAT, Clara and DUTHIE, Roger (eds.), 2017, *Transitional Justice and Education*. New York, Social Sciences Research Council.
- RAMIREZ-BARAT, Clara (ed.), 2014, *Transitional Justice, Culture and Society*. New York, Social Sciences Research Council.
- RUBLI, Sandra, 2012, *Transitional Justice: Justice by Bureaucratic Means?* Bern, Swisspeace.
- STERN, Steve J., 2010, *Reckoning with Pinochet. The Memory Question in Democratic Chile, 1989-2006*. Durham and London, Duke University Press.
- MINOW, Martha, 2002, *Breaking the Cycles of Hatred: Memory, Law and Repair*. Princeton University Press.
- SRIRAM, C. L., 2009, « Transitional Justice and the Liberal Peace », in: E. Newman, R. Paris, & O. P. Richmond (eds.), *New Perspectives on Liberal Peacebuilding*. Tokyo, United Nations University Press, pp. 112-129.

ANNEX

THE QARQ (Quote, Argument, Relation, Question) MODEL

Students introducing the texts and the films for the debate will be invited to:

Quote – Cite a sentence or two from each text (and from the films) which reflects the author(s)' main argument(s).

Argument – synoptically present author's argument in your own language. If relevant, mention which thesis the author is defending and which one he/she is arguing against.

Relation – When possible, connect the argument of the text or film under discussion with those of the same sessions or texts previously discussed. Show how author's argument supports or undermines argument of other authors. Also when pertinent, connect the argument of the texts with the discourse/analysis provided in the film.

Question –frame a question about the position or consistency of the argument: a) e.g., an issue which the author is silent about, and why this silence, and b) question about a dimension in the text that may not be amply addressed. Ideally, question should be internal to the text.